WISCONSIN UNIV-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER F/6 12/1
SOME RELATIONS BETWEEN NONEXPANSIVE AND ORDER PRESERVING MAPPIN--ETC(U)
MAR 79 M G CRANDALL, L TARTAR DAAG29-75-C-0024 NL AD-A070 202 UNCLASSIFIED | OF | AD . A070202 END DATE FILMED 7-79 DDC

AD A 0 7 0 2 0 2 MRC Technical Summary Report #1943 SOME RELATIONS BETWEEN NONEXPANSIVE AND ORDER PRESERVING MAPPINGS Michael G. Crandall and Luc Tartar

1413

Mathematics Research Center University of Wisconsin-Madison 610 Walnut Street Madison, Wisconsin 53706

March 1979

(Received January 29, 1979)



(2) FVF II

Approved for public release Distribution unlimited

Sponsored by

U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709

79 06 20 044

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

SOME RELATIONS BETWEEN NONEXPANSIVE AND ORDER

PRESERVING MAPPINGS

Michael G. Crandall and Luc Tartar

Technical Summary Report #1943 March 1979

ABSTRACT

It is shown that nonlinear operators which preserve the integral are order preserving if and only if they are nonexpansive in L^1 and that those which commute with translation by a constant are order preserving if and only if they are nonexpansive in L^{∞} . Examples are presented involving partial differential equations, difference approximations and rearrangements.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 47H99, 35F25, 65M10

Key Words: Nonlinear operator, Order preserving, Nonexpansive, Rearrangement

Work Unit Number 1 (Applied Analysis)

SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

Let T be an "operator" which takes the initial-value of an initial-value problem in differential equations to the value of the solution at a fixed later time t > 0. If the equations are nonlinear so will T be.

Among the desirable properties T may have are order preservation (which says the solution is an increasing function of the initial data) and Lipschitz continuity (which is a quantitative statement about the continuity of the solution as a function of the initial data). If 1 is a Lipschitz constant for T we say T is nonexpansive.

In this note we show that T is order preserving if and only if it is nonexpansive provided certain other properties are present. In particular, if T preserves an integral (which physically corresponds to things like the conservation of mass or energy) then T is order preserving if and only if it is nonexpansive in the associated integral sense. Analogous things are proved for the uniform norm. These observations reduce the work needed to verify all three properties when they are simultaneously present and enhances ones ability to recognize when these properties are present (in, for example, difference approximations). Examples are given.

	ssion For	
	GRA&I	
DDC	TAB	
	nounced	
Just	ification	
D		
Ву		
Dist	ibution/	
Ava	lability C	odes
	Avail and/or	
Dist	special	
•		

The responsibility for the wording and views expressed in this descriptive summary lies with MRC, and not with the authors of this report.

SOME RELATIONS BETWEEN NONEXPANSIVE AND ORDER PRESERVING MAPPINGS

Michael G. Crandall and Luc Tartar

Introduction.

Let Ω be a measure space equipped with a nonnegative measure. We write $\int_{\Omega} f$ for the integral over Ω of $f \in L^1(\Omega)$. Some years ago we observed, in a discussion of the Carleman equations (see Section 3), that if T is a mapping in $L^1(\Omega)$ which conserves the integral, i.e.,

(1)
$$\int_{\Omega} T(f) = \int_{\Omega} f$$

then T is nonexpansive if and only if it is order preserving. To be more precise, let fvg = max(f,g) and $r^+ = rvo$. We have:

Proposition 1: Let $C \subseteq L^1(\Omega)$ have the property that $f,g \in C$ implies fvg $\in C$. Let $T:C \to L^1(\Omega)$ satisfy (1) for $f \in C$. Then the following three properties of T are equivalent:

- (a) $f,g \in C$ and $f \leq g$ a.e. implies $T(f) \leq T(g)$ a.e.,
- (b) $\int_{\Omega} (T(f) T(g))^{+} \leq \int_{\Omega} (f g)^{+}$ for $f, g \in C$,
- (c) $\int_{\Omega} |T(f) T(g)| \le \int_{\Omega} |f g|$ for $f,g \in C$.

Recently, for all its simplicity, Proposition 1 was useful in the study of difference approximations of scalar conservation laws ([2]) so we have decided to present it here together with the parallel result for $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$:

Proposition 2: Let $C \subseteq L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ have the property that $f,g \in C$ implies $f + \|(g - f)^{+}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \in C$. Let $T : C \to L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfy:

(2) If $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $f \in C$ and $f + r \in C$, then T(f + r) = T(f) + r.

Then the following three properties of T are equivalent:

(a)
$$f,g \in C$$
 and $f \leq g$ a.e. implies $T(f) \leq T(g)$ a.e.,

(b)
$$(T(f) - T(g))^{+} \le \|(f - g)^{+}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$$
 a.e. for $f, g \in C$,

(c)
$$|T(f) - T(g)| \le ||f - g||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$$
 a.e. for $f,g \in C$.

These results are proved in Section 1 where remarks about variations are also made. Simple but interesting examples are indicated in Section 2.

Section 1. The Proofs.

Proof of Proposition 1. Assuming that (1) holds we show (a) \Longrightarrow (b) \Longrightarrow (c) \Longrightarrow (a).

Let $f,g \in C$. Then $f \vee g = g + (f - g)^+ \in C$ by assumption and, if (a) holds,

 $T(f \lor g) - T(g) \ge 0$. Moreover, $T(f) - T(g) \le T(f \lor g) - T(g)$. Thus we have

$$(T(f) - T(g))^+ < T(f \lor g) - T(g)$$
.

Using this and (1) yields

$$\int\limits_{\Omega} \left(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{f}) - \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{g}) \right)^{+} \leq \int\limits_{\Omega} \left(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{f} \mathsf{v} \mathsf{g}) - \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{g}) \right) = \int\limits_{\Omega} \left(\mathbf{f} \mathsf{v} \mathsf{g} - \mathsf{g} \right) = \int\limits_{\Omega} \left(\mathbf{f} - \mathsf{g} \right)^{+},$$

and we have shown (a) \Longrightarrow (b). That (b) \Longrightarrow (c) is trivial for, assuming (b),

$$\int_{\Omega} |T(f) - T(g)| = \int_{\Omega} (T(f) - T(g))^{+} + \int_{\Omega} (T(g) - T(f))^{+} \leq \int_{\Omega} (f - g)^{+} + \int_{\Omega} (g - f)^{+}$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} |f - g|.$$

Finally, if $f,g \in C$, $f \ge g$ and (c) holds, the identity $2s^+ = |s| + s$ and (1) imply

$$2 \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{T}(g) - \mathbf{T}(f))^{+} = \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{T}(g) - \mathbf{T}(f)| + \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{T}(g) - \mathbf{T}(f))$$
$$\leq \int_{\Omega} |g - f| + \int_{\Omega} (g - f) = 0$$

and so $T(g) \leq T(f)$ a.e.

Proof of Proposition 2. Assuming that (2) holds we show (a) \Longrightarrow (b) \Longrightarrow (c) \Longrightarrow (a).

Let $f,g \in C$. Then $g + \|(f-g)^+\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \in C$ by assumption and $g + \|(f-g)^+\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \ge fvg$ a.e. Thus (a) and (2) imply

$$\left(T(f)-T(g)\right)^{+}\leq T(g+\left\|\left(f-g\right)^{+}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)})-T(g)=\left\|\left(f-g\right)^{+}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\quad a.e.$$

which is (b). The implication (b) => (c) is immediate as in the previous case.

$$\begin{split} \left\|T(f) - T(g) + r\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} &= \left\|T(f + r) - T(g)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \left\|\left(f - g\right) + r\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq r \end{split}.$$

This implies $T(f) - T(g) \le 0$ a.e., which is the desired result.

Various generalizations of these results are possible. We next state one of some interest. Let X, Y be a vector lattices and λ_{X} , λ_{Y} be nonnegative linear functionals on X, Y respectively.

Proposition 3. Let $C \subseteq X$ and $f, g \in C$ imply fvg $\in C$. Let $T : C \to Y$ satisfy $\lambda_{Y}(T(f)) = \lambda_{Y}(f) \text{ for } f \in C.$

Then $(a) \implies (b) \implies (c)$ where (a), (b), (c) are the properties:

- (a) $f,g \in C$ and $f \leq g$ implies $T(f) \leq T(g)$,
- (b) $\lambda_{\mathbf{Y}}((\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{f}) \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{g}))^{+}) \leq \lambda_{\mathbf{X}}((\mathbf{f} \mathbf{g})^{+})$ for f,g ϵ C,
- (c) $\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(|\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{f}) \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{g})|) \leq \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(|\mathbf{f} \mathbf{g}|)$.

Moreover, if $\lambda_{v}(f) > 0$ for f > 0, then (a), (b), (c) are equivalent.

The proof is the same as that of Proposition 1. Proposition 2 admits analogous "vector-valued" generalizations. In particular, T may be a mapping $T: C\subseteq L^{\infty}(\Omega)+L^{\infty}(\Omega^{1}) \text{ with distinct measure spaces } \Omega, \Omega^{1}. \text{ In another spirit, if } (a) in Proposition 3 is replaced by the requirement that } T+\gamma I \text{ be order preserving } for some } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \text{ and } (3) \text{ holds, then one deduces that } \lambda_{Y}(T(f)-T(g))^{+} \leq (1+\gamma)\lambda_{X}(f-g)^{+}+\gamma\lambda_{X}(g-f)^{+}. \text{ If } (2) \text{ in Proposition 2 is replaced by } T(f+r) \leq T(f)+\gamma r \text{ for every } r\in \mathbb{R}^{+} \text{ and some } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, \text{ then (a) implies that } (T(f)-T(g))^{+} \leq \gamma \|(f-g)^{+}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \text{ a.e. Similarly, if (1) is replaced by } \Gamma_{X}(f) = \Gamma_{X}(f) \text{ a.e. Similarly, if (1) is replaced by } \Gamma_{X}(f) = \Gamma_{X}(f) \text{ a.e. Similarly, if (1) is replaced by } \Gamma_{X}(f) = \Gamma_{X}(f) \text{ a.e. Similarly, if (1) is replaced by } \Gamma_{X}(f) = \Gamma_{X}(f) \text{ a.e. Similarly, if (1) is replaced by } \Gamma_{X}(f) = \Gamma_{X}(f) \text{ a.e. Similarly, if (1) is replaced by } \Gamma_{X}(f) = \Gamma_{X}(f) \text{ a.e. Similarly, if (1) is replaced by } \Gamma_{X}(f) = \Gamma_{X}(f) \text{ a.e. Similarly, if (1) is replaced by } \Gamma_{X}(f) = \Gamma_{X}(f) \text{ a.e. Similarly, if (1) is replaced by } \Gamma_{X}(f) = \Gamma_{X}(f) \text{ a.e. Similarly, if (1) is replaced } \Gamma_{X}(f) = \Gamma_{X}(f) \text{ a.e. }$

All the above could be reformulated in terms of the conditions satisfied by $J(h) = T(h+g) - T(g) \quad \text{where} \quad g \in \mathcal{C} \quad \text{is held fixed to obtain variants.} \quad \text{For example,} \\ \text{if } J \quad \text{preserves the integral, then} \quad J(h)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq J(h^{\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{for each } h \quad \text{implies that} \\ \int\limits_{\Omega} J(h)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \int\limits_{\Omega} h^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \int\limits_{\Omega} J(h)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq \int\limits_{\Omega} h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for each } h \quad \text{which implies that} \quad \int\limits_{\Omega} \left|J(h)\right| \leq \int\limits_{\Omega} \left|h\right| \\ \text{which in turn implies that} \quad J \quad \text{preserves the nonnegative (respectively, nonpositive)} \\ \text{functions.} \quad \text{We will refrain from more remarks of this sort.}$

Section 2. Examples.

We informally indicate a few examples. The origin of these remarks was the system of equations (called the Carleman equations)

(4)
$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_x + (u^2 - v^2) = 0 \\ v_t - v_x + (v^2 - u^2) = 0 \end{cases}$$

which are to be solved subject to initial conditions

(5)
$$\begin{cases} u(\mathbf{x},0) = u_0(\mathbf{x}) \\ v(\mathbf{x},0) = v_0(\mathbf{x}) \end{cases}$$

where $u_0, v_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})^+$. Assuming (as is the case: [4], [6], [7]) that this problem is solvable in a reasonable sense for u(t,x), v(t,x) we have (formally)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u(t,x) + v(t,x)) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u_t + v_t) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-u_x + v_x) dx = 0$$

and so

(6)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (u(t,x) + v(t,x)) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u_0(x) + v_0(x)) dx .$$

Letting $\lambda : L^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \times L^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$\lambda(f,g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f+g) dx$$

(6) means that

$$\lambda(s(t)(\mathbf{u}_0,\mathbf{v}_0)) = \lambda(\mathbf{u}_0,\mathbf{v}_0)$$

where S(t) is the semigroup associated with (4), (5). That is, $S(t)\left(u_{0},v_{0}\right)=\left(u(t,\cdot),v(t,\cdot)\right). \text{ Hence, by Proposition 3, } S(t) \text{ is order-preserving}$ if and only if it is nonexpansive in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}\right)^{+}\times L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}\right)^{+}$ (and it is both).

We next briefly illustrate in a simple case the relation to [2]. Consider the problem $u_t + f(u)_x = 0$ where $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is C^1 . The Lax-Friedrichs' difference approximation to this equation is

$$v_{j}^{n+1} = v_{j}^{n} - \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x} (f(v_{j+1}^{n}) - f(v_{j-1}^{n})) + \frac{1}{2} (v_{j+1}^{n} + v_{j-1}^{n} - 2v_{j}^{n})$$

which we rewrite as

$$\mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{n+1}} = \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{n}})$$

where G maps sequences $u = \left\{ u_j \right\}_{j = -\infty}^{\infty}$ to sequences according to

$$\left. g\left(v \right)_{j} = v_{j} - \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x} \left(f\left(v_{j+1} \right) - f\left(v_{j-1} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(v_{j+1} + v_{j-1} - 2v_{j} \right) \right. .$$

Let ℓ_1 be the space of summable sequences $\{U_j\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$ with the usual ordering, a<0< b, and $C=\{U\in\ell_1:a\leq U_j\leq b \text{ for all }j\}$. Clearly

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} G(U)_{j} = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} U_{j}.$$

Moreover, G is clearly order preserving on C if $1 \ge \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} |f'(r)|$ for $a \le r \le b$. Hence, by Proposition 1, G is also nonexpansive in this case.

Next consider the initial value problem

(7)
$$\begin{cases} u_t + f(gradu) = 0, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

where $f: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$. From the form of (7) one expects that if S(t) is the associated semigroup (see, e.g., [3], [5], [10]) then $S(t)(u_0 + r) = S(t)u_0 + r$ for each r, and this is indeed the case. Hence we have a quite nontrivial mapping with the property (2). It is both order preserving and nonexpansive in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and one property follows from the other via Proposition 2. The results analogous to [2] for this

case are being developed, as are analogous results for equations of the class $u_{\rm t} = \Delta \varphi(u) = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad \varphi \; \colon \; \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \quad \text{is nondecreasing.} \quad \text{(The associated semigroup here }$ often satisfies (1) or its generalizations.)

We offer nonincreasing rearrangements as our last example. Let Ω be a measure space with μ the associated measure and ν be a Borel measure on $(0,\infty)$ such that $\mathbf{r} \to \nu((0,\mathbf{r}))$ is a homeomorphism of $(0,\infty)$. If $\mathbf{f} \geq 0$ is measurable on Ω then there is exactly one right-continuous nonincreasing function $\mathbf{f}^{\bullet}:(0,\infty)\to[0,\infty]$ for which $\nu(\mathbf{r}\in(0,\infty):\mathbf{f}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{r})>\alpha)=\mu\{\omega\in\Omega:\mathbf{f}(\omega)>\alpha\}$

for $a \geq 0$. This f^{\bullet} is called the <u>nonincreasing rearrangement</u> of f (with respect to v). Two particular cases of interest are v((0,r)) = r (Lebesque measure) and $v((0,r)) = c_N r^N$ where c_N is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N (see, e.g., [8, p. 184], [9, p. 189]). In the latter case f^{\bullet} can be regarded as a decreasing radial function on \mathbb{R}^N with the same distribution function as f. Define $T(f) = f^{\bullet}$. It is immediate from the definition that T is order preserving. Moreover, for every continuous function $g: \mathbb{R}^f \to \mathbb{R}^f$ we have

(8)
$$\int_{\Omega}^{\infty} g(T(f)) dv = \int_{\Omega} g(f) du$$

since T(f) and f have the same distribution function. (Both sides of (8) may be $+\infty$.) Thus T takes $L^P(u)^+$ to $L^P(v)^+$ for $1 \le p \le \infty$ and preserves the integral. Moreover it is immediate from the definition that T(f+c) = T(f) + c for $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Hence T is nonexpansive from $L^P(u)^+$ to $L^P(v)^+$ for $p=1,\infty$. In fact, if (9) $j: \{0,\infty\} + \{0,\infty\}$ is convex, lower semicontinuous and j(0) = 0, then

(10)
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} j(|\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{f}) - \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{g})|) dv \leq \int_{\Omega} j(|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{g}|) d\mu$$

whenever $f,g \geq 0$ and $\int\limits_{\Omega} j(f)d\mu$, $\int\limits_{\Omega} j(g)d\mu < \infty$. This follows from a variant of a result of Brezis-Strauss [1].

Proposition 4: Let Ω , Ω ' be measure spaces with measures Π , V respectively. Let $K:L^1(\Pi)^+ \to L^1(V)^+$ satisfy

(11)
$$\int_{\Omega} |K(f) - K(g)| dv \leq \int_{\Omega} |f - g| du for f, g \in L^{1}(u)^{+}$$

and

(12)
$$K(f) \leq \|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\mu)} \quad \text{a.e. } \nu \text{ for } f \in L^{1}(\mu)^{+}.$$

Then for each j as in (9) and f $\in L^1(\mu)^+$

(13)
$$\int_{\Omega} j(\kappa(t)) dv \leq \int_{\Omega} j(t) du .$$

The idea of the proof is as follows: Let $t \ge 0$, $f \in L^1(\mu)^+$ and set $h = f \wedge t$. Then $h \le t$ and so $K(h) \le t$ a.e. v by (12). Hence $(K(f) - t)^+ \le (K(f) - K(h))^+$ and so, by (11),

$$\int\limits_{\Omega^{\bullet}} \left(K(f) - t \right)^{+} \! d\nu \leq \int\limits_{\Omega^{\bullet}} \left(K(f) - K(h) \right)^{+} \! d\nu \leq \int\limits_{\Omega^{\bullet}} \left| f - h \right| \! d\mu = \int\limits_{\Omega^{\bullet}} \left(f - t \right)^{+} \! d\mu \ .$$

Next one integrates this inequality with respect to the measure dj'(t) and uses (properly interpreted) the identity

$$j(x) = \int_{\infty}^{0} (x - t)^{+} dj'(t) + j'(0+)x$$

to find (13).

To apply Proposition 4 to prove (10) we fix $g \in L^1(\mu)^+ \cap L^\infty(\mu)^+$ and set K(f) = |T(f+g) - T(g)|. Then (11) and (12) follow from what we have shown above. Thus (10) holds for $f \in L^1(\mu)^+$ and g as above. For the general case, choose $f_n, g_n \in L^\infty(\mu)^+ \cap L^1(\mu)^+$ increasing to f, g as $n + \infty$, note that $j(|f_n - g_n|) \leq j(f)vj(g)$ and use the dominated convergence theorem and Fatou's lemma.

It seems unlikely that (10) is not in print, but we do not know a reference.

R. Turner points out that it may be deduced from approximation by simple functions in a straightforward (but not simpler) way. Here it is exhibited as a special case of general facts. We also felt it worthwhile to recall the useful and simple ideas represented by Proposition 4 and its proof, as they are perhaps not as well known as they deserve to be.

REFERENCES

- Brezis, H. and W. Strauss, Semilinear elliptic equations in L¹, J. Math. Soc. Japan <u>25</u> (1973), 15-26.
- [2] Crandall, M. G. and A. Majda, Monotone difference approximations for scalar conservation laws, to appear.
- [3] Douglis, A., Lectures on discontinuous solutions of first order nonlinear partial differential equations in several space variables, North British Symposium on Partial Differential Equations, 1972.
- [4] Kolodner, Ignace I., On the Carleman's model for the Boltzmann equation and its generalizations, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 63 (1963), 11-32.
- [5] Kružkov, S. N., Generalized solutions of first order nonlinear equations in several independent variables, Mat. Sb. 70 (112), (1966), 394-415.
- [6] Kurtz, T., Convergence of sequences of semigroups of nonlinear operators with an application to gas kinetics, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 186 (1973), 259-272.
- [7] Pierre, M., Un théorème de generation de semi-groupes nonlinéaires, Israel J. Math. 23 (1976), 189-199.
- [8] Pólya, G. and G. Szegő, Isoperimetric Inequalities in Mathematical Physics, Annals of Mathematics Studies 27, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1951.
- [9] Stein, E. and G. Weiss, Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1971.
- [10] Tamburro, M. B., The evolution operator approach to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, Israel J. Math. 26 (1977), 232-264.

MGC/LT/scr

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE BEFORE COMPLETING FORM REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO 1943 4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Summary Report, no specific SOME RELATIONS BETWEEN NONEXPANSIVE AND ORDER reporting period PRESERVING MAPPINGS 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+) AUTHOR(.) DAAG29-75-C-0824 Michael G. Crandall Luc Tartar 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Mathematics Research Center, University of Work Unit Number 1 (Applied Wisconsin 610 Walnut Street Analysis) Madison, Wisconsin 53706 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS REPORT DATE U. S. Army Research Office March 1979 P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709
14 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING 16 DISTR BUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number) Nonlinear operator Order preserving L(1) Nonexpansive Rearrangement 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) It is shown that nonlinear operators which preserve the/integral are order preserving if and only if they are nonexpansive in (L) and that those which commute with translation by a constant are order preserving if and only if they are nonexpansive in (L. Examples are presented involving partial differential equations, difference approximations and rearrangements.

221200

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

DD , FORM 1473

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)